REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF ZAP 06-011, Log No. 06-19-008, F&H Auto

March 13, 2007

COMMENT TO STAFF: The Project Manager must ensure that all applicable environmental ordinances are complied with to the extent that these ordinances apply to the project.

LUADITATI	OCC DEDMIT		- D 4	. 4 - 41
			<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform Ordinance findings?	i to the
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT □	
of the Multiple	Species Cons	ervation Prog	rovements are located within the bound gram. Therefore, conformance to the H ce findings is not required.	
			ct conform to the Multiple Species gation Ordinance?	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
within the bour conforms with	ndaries of the N the Multiple Sp	Multiple Spec pecies Conse	rovements related to the proposed pro- cies Conservation Program. The project ervation Program and the Biological Mit dings dated March 8, 2007.	ct
	VATER ORDIN County Groun		es the project comply with the requirement	ents of
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
			Groundwater Ordinance because the particular cations facility and will not use any	project

groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

YES

NO

ZAP 06-011, Log 1	NO. 06-19-00	08 - 2 -			March 13, 2007			
V. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:								
The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?			YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠			
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?			YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT			
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)?			YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠			
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?			YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠			
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?			YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑			
Discussion:								
The project is for a Minor Use Permit for an unmanned wireless telecommunications facility and is not subject to the RPO per Article III.								
V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?								
	YES	NO	NOT APF	PLICA	BLE			
Discussion:								
(DPW) staff have Projects submitted Doug Munson for Spring Valley Condocument complied Management, and	reviewed the date to the Courthe proposed munity Planes with the Courth Discharge (e Stormwater Manty of San Diego d unmanned wire ning area within county of San Die Control Ordinance	inagement on Augus eless telec the Count ego Waters ce (WPO, S	Plan t 22, 2 commit y of S shed f Section	Protection, Stormwater on 67.817).			
<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?								

NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

The proposed Cricket project consists of two Nortel CMO equipment cabinets and a single PPC cabinet enclosed within an 8-foot high CMU block wall. Proposed Cricket equipment will be adjacent to an existing auto repair shop, located on the western facade of this building. Based on the Eilar Noise Impact Analysis, the proposed Cricket equipment enclosed within the 8-foot CMU block wall will generate sound levels as high as 41.3 dBA at the western property line. Therefore, the proposed Cricket Communications facility will meet the property line noise level limits of the County Noise Ordinance (Section 36.404).

ND05-07\0619008-ORDCHKLST